

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/065,707 11/12/2002 Corey M. Courts **SPE-13** 4257 23508 7590 03/29/2004 **EXAMINER** LUNDEEN & DICKINSON, LLP WALKER, ZAKIYA NICOLE PO BOX 131144 HOUSTON, TX 77219-1144 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/065,707	COURTS, COREY M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zakiya N. Walker	3672
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the provision of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14,16 and 17 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	2002 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan ne correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
	y the Examiner. Here the attached	
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/065,707

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "is disclosed" is cited in line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dlask et al. Dlask et al. discloses an apparatus (Figs. 2-5) that includes an apparatus for holding a first tubular (not shown, but like 17 in Fig. 1) while connecting a second tubular (not shown, but like 16 in Fig. 1) at a specified torque for insertion in the bore of the first tubular, the apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/065,707

Art Unit: 3672

a means for centralizing 20 the first tubular below a plate 24;

a means on the plate for restraining the second tubular from movement;

a telescoping support means (38 telescopes with sleeve 29) for holding a load cell 52 (may be connected to 38) connected to the centralizing means laterally adjacent the second tubular;

a torque arm 50 extending from the second tubular to the load cell; and, a torque-applying means (power tongs not shown, but like 10 in Fig. 1) for applying torque to the second tubular, the

torque-applying means attached adjacent the torque arm.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-14, 16, and 17 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pietras et al. teaches a wrenching tong and Lynde et al. teaches a downhole make up tool, both references include load cells.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zakiya N. Walker whose telephone number is (703) 305-0302. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:30 AM-5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,707

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zakiya N. Walker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

zw March 19, 2004